

*The Law Offices of*  
**GEOFFREY T. MOTT, P.C.**

736 Valley Road  
Montclair, N.J. 07043  
Tel: (973) 655-8989  
Fax: (973) 655-0077

email: [gmott@geoffreymottlaw.com](mailto:gmott@geoffreymottlaw.com)  
web: [www.geoffreymottlaw.com](http://www.geoffreymottlaw.com)

7600 Jericho Tpke, Ste. 105  
Woodbury, N.Y. 11797  
Tel: (516) 544-4600  
Fax: (516) 544-4601

February 15, 2024

VIA ECF

Hon. Dale E. Ho  
United States Federal Court  
Southern District of New York  
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007

*Re: Reynolds v. City of New York, Dkt. No. 23-cv-09792*

Dear Honorable Judge Ho:

I represent the Plaintiff, Mr. Terrance Reynolds in the above matter as counsel at the Law Firm of Geoffrey T. Mott. I have met and conferred with Corporation Counsel, and upon consent request that the herein case be stayed until the Second Circuit Court of Appeals has rendered its decision in the companion case *Reynolds v. City of New York*, Dkt. No. 23-76. Said case was argued in front of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals on October 23, 2023, and as such, we anticipate a stay to be for but a brief period of time.

“In making the decision to grant or deny a motion to stay, courts consider five factors: (1) the private interests of the plaintiffs in proceeding expeditiously with the civil litigation as balanced against the prejudice to the plaintiffs if delayed; (2) the private interests of and burden on the defendants; (3) the interests of the courts; (4) the interests of persons not parties to the civil litigation; and (5) the public interest.” *Travelers Cas. & Sur. Co. of Am. v. DiPizio Const. Co.*, 103 F. Supp. 3d 366, 370 (W.D.N.Y. 2015); citing *Kappel v. Comfort*, 914 F.Supp. 1056, 1058 (S.D.N.Y.1996)

The basis for the request is in the interest of efficiency. If Plaintiff is successful on appeal, any mediation or settlement discussions would necessitate the resolution of both matters, as a global settlement. Said cases both stem from incidents that occurred at Plaintiff’s workplace, New York City Department of Environmental Protection and involve similar parties. As such, any mediation prior to the outcome of the Appeal would be futile. Since we are requesting a short stay,

*Hon. Dale E. Ho*  
*February 15, 2024*  
*Page 2 of 2,*

until the Second Circuit Court of Appeals renders its decision, neither party will be prejudiced, and said impediment to earnestly engage in the SDNY mediation program will be removed.

As such, we kindly request that Your Honor stay the herein matter until such a time that the Second Circuit Court of Appeals renders its decision in *Reynolds v. City of New York*, Dkt. No. 23-76.

Thank you for your kind consideration in this matter.

Yours truly,



Cathryn Harris-Marchesi, Esq.

cc: E. Arbizu, Esq. VIA ECF

Application GRANTED.

All proceedings and deadlines in this case are **STAYED**, pending further order of the Court. Within **one week** of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals decision being rendered in *Reynolds v. City of New York*, Dkt. No. 23-76, parties shall file a joint status letter on ECF proposing the next steps in this litigation.

The Clerk of Court is respectfully requested to close ECF No. 15.

SO ORDERED.



Dale E. Ho  
United States District Judge  
Dated: February 16, 2024  
New York, New York